Watchdog makes good use of complaints
Consumer Action examines database closely in a new report

By Ruth Susswein

Consumers with complaints about their dealings with financial services companies have a new tool—the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)—to assist them in reporting and resolving problems. More than 600,000 complaints have been logged in the CFPB database in the last five years. Consumers report unresolved disputes about mortgage, credit reports, student loans, car loans, bank accounts, debt collection, credit, debit and prepaid cards, payday loans, money transfers and more.

Topping the list are consumers’ troubles with debt collection, credit reporting and mortgages/foreclosures.

According to CFPB Director Richard Cordray, “Responsible companies are using complaint information to identify pain points and improve customer service.”

Complaints are forwarded to the financial services company for a response, and recorded in the CFPB’s public complaint database after giving the company 15 days to respond. The aggrieved consumer hears directly from the company, not the CFPB. (The CFPB expects complaints to be resolved within 60 days.)

The CFPB offers the only public government complaint database with such a range of information, along with a complaint process that offers consumers a better chance at having companies address their complaints than other federal offices (although with no guarantee of resolution).

Our research report
Consumer Action studied the CFPB Consumer Complaint Database to evaluate its usefulness to consumers and to learn what we could about how consumers are getting their complaints resolved. (Our research is presented in a report available online: bit.ly/D-base_Report.) We worked with Josue Chavez, an intern from Columbia University, to analyze the types of financial complaints consumers are reporting, learn how to access the information in the database and, “New report” continues on page 4

CFPB Complaint Database Report

Complaint data doesn’t always tell whole story

By Monica Steinich

Though the CFPB’s complaint database had been active since 2012, details of the complaints presented in a consumer’s own words weren’t available to outsiders until last summer. In mid-2015, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) allowed consumers to post a “narrative” of their complaints in the agency’s online public complaint database.

Complaint narratives—combined with the company’s response and any relief provided—have the potential to reveal a lot about individual businesses as well as entire categories of financial product/service providers.

In fact, the CFPB uses the extensive complaint data it collects to identify business practices that pose a risk to consumers, enforce federal consumer protection laws and develop better regulations.

However, a review of a sampling of complaints finds that the data is not always as revealing as it could be for individuals trying to make wise financial choices.

The backstory
Consumer Action and fellow consumer advocates had fought for years for the inclusion of complaint details in the database because the narratives reveal a more vivid picture of the problem than generalized categories can, and allow the public to draw its own conclusions about the complaint and the company’s response (or lack of one). Fifty-nine percent of consumers who filed complaints with the CFPB in 2015 chose to share details with the public.

Before narratives are posted for the world to see, the CFPB removes redacted personal and financial information to protect consumers’ identities. It also removes details about age, race, ethnicity, disabilities and the names of companies not directly related to the complaint.

“Scrubbed” text is replaced with a series of Xs, as in this line from an actual complaint: “I closed an account with Delbert Services (DBA XXXXX)...I followed the XXXX guidelines for disputing an entry on my credit report...I am working to pay my bills but when XXXX and Delbert Services throw roadblocks in my way...” It’s often difficult to understand why certain text is removed, but the Bureau appears to use a “better safe than sorry” approach.

“Whole story” continues on page 2

Improving a valuable consumer resource

By Ruth Susswein

Consumer Action has worked to encourage the CFPB to make its public complaint database as useful, transparent and powerful as possible. Over the last five years, the Bureau has expanded the database’s functions and the information in it that the public can access. The complaint database is an important tool for consumers to evaluate a business and alert others to problems. But we believe there is more work to be done to make this first-rate resource even more helpful to consumers.

Consumer Action’s review of the CFPB complaint database found it to be “clunky” for consumers to use and uninviting to look at.

When filtering data in a search, often no results appear; the filter is sensitive to spacing discrepancies and sometimes difficult to use. We often had to restart our search, which wastes time and has the potential to frustrate users.

Information about complaints is voluminous but detail about outcomes is sparse. Most of the very limited information on complaint resolutions was found in the CFPB’s annual complaint report. Even in the report we find the details to be insufficient. It’s not easy to learn what solutions have been forthcoming from certain companies and there are no explanations on why consumers go on to dispute company responses (now called providing “feedback”).

Fortunately, this will change for the better when the Bureau institutes its upcoming rating system to allow consumers to explain why they were satisfied or dissatisfied with a company’s response to their problem.

While 97 percent of consumers received a response to their complaint from the companies they had a beef with, true complaint resolutions have been disarmingly low. As of January 2016, only 6 percent of complainants received monetary relief (the amounts vary widely by category—from prepaid cards with 24 percent monetary relief to mortgages with 3 percent) and 12 percent received non-monetary relief. Explanations sent by the company to the consumer accounted for 72 percent of responses. These might include why the company did not grant a credit line increase, why it rejected a loan application or how the bank’s overdraft process works. While these might be considered a form of resolution since they notify the consumer of the company’s reason behind its action (or inaction), there’s no way to judge how satisfied consumers are with companies’ explanations until the Bureau’s satisfaction rating scale is in place.

Our recommendations
In the course of using the database for this research project, we learned firsthand where this tool’s value lies and where its usefulness could be enhanced. As such, we’ve compiled a list of suggestions to improve consumer access to complaint information and outcomes. Here are some of our recommendations. (For the “Improving” continues on page 2
Monetary vs. non-monetary relief

Companies that resolve consumer complaints can respond with “Closed with monetary relief” or “Closed with non-monetary relief.” Monetary relief is a specific dollar amount returned to the consumer, such as crediting an overdraft or late fee or refunding a finance charge. Non-monetary relief could include such actions as correcting a credit report error or ceasing debt collection calls.

In 2015, companies provided monetary relief in response to more than 9,700 complaints. Complaints with the highest percentage of monetary relief were for debt (26%), credit card accounts (24%), auto loans (23%), and mortgage accounts (18%). Median amounts of relief for these three categories were $371, $100 and $105 respectively. Mortgage complaints received the highest median amount of relief ($500), yet a mere 3 percent of mort-
gage complaints responded with any financial compensation.

Following her analysis of the relief granted by companies, Professor Angela Lipinow observed in her 2015 report “Why Process Complaints? Then and Now” (http://bit.ly/2atlCkE) that “the products with the highest levels of relief tend to have the smallest claims (outside of our own).” Lipinow concluded that “companies appear to be most gener-ous with both monetary and non-monetary relief regarding issues that are inexpensive to resolve.” — M.S.

Wholesale

Continued from page 1

Size counts

Consumers should note that while the database provides the total number of complaints against a particular company, it does not account for the size of the company.

Without comparative size information, an outsider using the database can’t fully judge a company’s performance relative to its competitors.

For example, if Company A has 100 complaints listed and Company B has 1000 complaints, a consumer could draw the conclusion that Company B has many more dis-satisfied customers. But what if Company B had twice as many customers as Company A?

Indicating the number of complaints per say, 1000 customers, would give more meaning to the data.

Holes in responses

The company named in the complaint is expected by the CFPB to answer the complaint within 15 days and resolve the complaint within 60 days, unless the cate-gorize its response as Closed with Monetary Relief, Closed with Non-monetary Relief, Closed with Explanation, Closed with Prompt Dispute Resolution (company needs more time to investi-igate complaint) or Administrative Response (for example, the account was sold or fraud is suspected).

The company also has the option to select a public response from a list of nine standardized options. These options do not include a statement by the company “Disputes the facts presented,” “Believes the complaint was caused principally by a third party” and “Complaint is the result of a misun-derstanding.” (See the full report for all nine public response options.)

Consumer Action reviewed six complaints that companies labeled “Closed with explanation, reporting, debt collection, bank account or services, and credit card,” finding that the majority of the time (5% to 77%), the company did not provide a public response. Of those that did, the most common selection was “Company chooses not to provide a public response.” (Debt collection was the one exception where companies more often (15%) chose “Company believes it acted appropriately as authorized by contract or law.”)

The vast majority of complaints (68% to 89%) were labeled “Closed with explanation,” but that doesn’t explain much. According to the CFPB, explanations may include things like why a credit line increase or loan application was denied because they didn’t have sufficient income to qual-ify. Individual consumers may have received detailed explanations, but the public sees only “Closed with explanation” which does not give the user enough information to reason-ably judge a company’s response.

Rating the response

Twenty percent of consumers chose to dispute a company’s re-sponse in 2015. However, a dispute does not result in additional efforts by the CFPB to resolve the issue. To avoid any misunderstandings, the CFPB has begun referring to consumer disputes as “feedback.”

The database does note when consumers dispute a company’s response, but provides no details on why they still were dissatisfied. This was the case for the time of our re-search. However, a just-announced change of policy, effective in early 2017, will allow consumers to rate their satisfaction with a company’s response on a scale of one to five and explain their ratings. Consum-ers will have the choice to make their rating details public in the database. The new satisfaction rat-ing scale should make it easier for consumers to look into how well companies handle their complaints.

On the right track

The CFPB complaint database is an invaluable tool for the agency, which is able to analyze all the complaint data it gathers, including how a particular company processes complaints received any financial compensation.

Following her analysis of the relief granted by companies, Professor Angela Lipinow observed in her 2015 report “Why Process Complaints? Then and Now” (http://bit.ly/2atlCkE) that “the products with the highest levels of relief tend to have the smallest claims (outside of our own).” Lipinow concluded that “companies appear to be most gener-ous with both monetary and non-monetary relief regarding issues that are inexpensive to resolve.” — M.S.

Improving


Include complaints received by the CFPB in the database (no excep-tions) and list all complaints by the name of the company the con-sumers complained about (not only by the parent company’s name).

Increase and improve informa-tion about consumer relief. Cur-rently, there is no information in the database about the exact amount or type of relief provided to complainants and very few details are featured in the Bureau’s an-nual complaint report. We’d like to see the database include the dollar amount of any monetary relief granted and specific actions taken to provide non-monetary relief (for example, an error removed from credit re-poorts).

Provide explanations. In 2015, 72 percent of consumers received an explanation from the company in response to their complaint. Detailed explanations from these explanations should be shared with the public. It would be useful for all of us to know the complaints consumers have made and the things like why a credit line increase wasn’t approved, why a loan was denied or how a particular company process worked.

Note third-party referrals. If a company responds to the consumer that a third party is responsible for complaints, the company should be compelled by the CFPB to provide the complainant with contact information for the third party so that the consumer can continue efforts to resolve the complaint.

Improve functionality. Make filing complaints easier and quicker. Users should be directed to keep searches simple and to use the Narrative option to learn about consumers’ specific problems.

Relax the scrubbing standard. We believe it would be possible to allow the public to view more of the content of the Narratives (details) while still protecting consumer privacy. This would allow viewers to better understand the substance of the complaint.

Require response and resolution. Require all companies supervised by the CFPB to adequately respond and resolve consumer complaints. As it stands now, far too many consumers get non-responsive replies from companies.

Provide consumer notification when a CFPB action (e.g. rulemaking, settlement, new rule) results from a complaint they submitted. The con-sumers should be notified by the Bureau in a timely manner, and the action should be reported to the public to expand awareness and use of the database.

(Our CFPB Consumer Complaint Database Report detailing our find-ings and full recommendations for improvements, can be found online at bit.ly/Dbase-Report).
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Consulting the consumer complaint database

By Lauren Hall

The complaints that consumers report to the CFPB on financial products and services run the gamut from interactions with student loan debt collectors to run-ins with financial planners and fraudsters.

The complaint database is broken down into 11 product categories: bank account or services, consumer loans, credit reporting, credit trading, debt collection, mortgage, paydays, pre-paid loans, prepaid cards, student loans and other financial products.

Each category contains sub-products. For example, “bank account or services” complaints are classified as checking account, savings account, certificate of deposit (CD), check cashed without an account, or another activity.

Once you understand how to find the information you need, you have a valuable tool at your disposal. So, how do you access the complaint database, and how do you best use it to learn more about the complaints and companies that most interest you?

From the CFPB’s homepage (http://www.consumerfinance.gov/), you can access the database by scrolling down to “Submit a complaint” (on left) and clicking on the “Browse public complaints about companies” link.

There you will find the complaint database homepage, where there are three options (marked by three green circles):

• Read consumer narratives;
• View complaint data;
• Download options and API (mostly for those doing in-depth research/statistical analysis).

When users click on the “Read consumer narratives” option, they are taken to a section of the database that lists only complaints with narratives (complaint details). This section also includes the company’s standardized response to the complaint, if it provides one.

Users can access a particular complaint category by scrolling down the database homepage to locate a series of icons (small images) that direct consumers to complaints by subject (e.g., credit card, debt collection or mortgage). Each image (see below) is a “sub” that can be clicked to bring up a featured complaint and a live link to more complaints. (See illustration A.)

If you want to know how many complaints there have been about a certain company, then select the middle option, “View complaint data,” to access all complaints (with and without narratives). (See illustration B.)

Consumers will find that both selections offer them the ability to view complaints data right in their browser based on specific search criteria. Users can gather the data by such things as product, complaint type, company, state, date, or a combination of variables.

Filtering the data

Along with the ability to search complaints by keyword using the Search bar (upper right corner), there are two major ways to filter the massive amounts of complaint data:

1. Use the gray row at the top of the page, which lists column headings such as complaint “product,” “issue,” “sub-issue” and, scrolling right, more choices, such as “company response to consumer”; or
2. Use the blue filter box on the right side of the screen (click the blue Filter button above the gray bar if the box doesn’t appear automatically)

Filtering with blue box

Another way to refine your results is to click on the small dark blue “Filter” box (top right of screen). This brings up a sidebar on the right (see illustration C). In the sidebar, click on “Add a New Filter Condition,” which brings up dropdown menus of search criteria options.

From there, you can narrow your search step by step. For example, you could search for (1) all debt collection complaints (2) received between 1/1/15 and 6/1/15 (3) from consumers in Nebraska (4) that are related to “debt is not mine” and (5) include a narrative.

What to expect when YOU file

Editor’s note: A more in-depth story about how to file a complaint with the Bureau can be found online at bit.ly/CANews_Fall2016

The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) pays close attention to consumer complaints, but this aspect of the Bureau’s work is mostly under the public’s radar. The Bureau relies on consumer complaints to help guide its priorities and enforcement actions.

Here’s how it works:

• File: You file a complaint (online, by phone or by snail mail);
• Forward: The CFPB forwards your complaint to the company;
• Response: The company (usu-

ally) responds to your complaint in writing and:

• Resolves the problem or part of the problem (monetary or non-
monetary relief);
• Explains why it can’t or won’t resolve the problem;
• Ignores the problem; or
• Bears a bland promise for the problem to be fixed.

Feedback: You can “dispute” the company’s response by writing or calling the CFPB to explain your dispute or your com-plaint with how the company has handled your complaint. If the company, not the CFPB are likely to re-open the case, but the Bureau does want to hear your feedback. Soon you will be able to formally rate a company’s response.

Outcome: On occasion, the CFPB will follow up on your complaint directly with the company and you may end up with a positive outcome. But more likely, they will collect your disputed complaint and look for trends. Are there any other similar complaints? Is there a pattern of unfair or deceptive actions? Are there signs of discrimination? The CFPB may open an investigation and, if warranted, start an enforcement ac-
tion against the company.

Or, you may never know it, but behind the scenes the Bureau may urge the company to change its practices or face further scrutiny. The complaints may prompt the CFPB to create free educational materials to help consumers master complex financial matters. Some-times the complaints help the Bureau decide if a new rule is needed to prevent bad business practices.

To target your search by complaint details and a particular company, click on “Add a New Filter Condition” and choose “Consumer complaint narrative.” Then set the filter to “contains” and enter the name of the company in the bar.

Tips

When adding filter conditions in the sidebar, avoid using “is”; instead click on the tiny downward-pointing arrow and select the word “contains” for best results. This will prevent any results from being omitted because there wasn’t a perfect word match.

To remove a filter from the gray bar, click on the small red “X” symbol at the top of the column. To start from scratch, click on the “Reset” button in the top left area, above the gray bar.

Be aware, sometimes the database will fail to yield any results even if results exist. If this occurs you can click on the refresh arrow after removing filters.

Use “keywords” to target a search. For example, to find complaints about Chase Manhattan Bank, you can type the name into the search box (top right of page with magnifying glass labeled “Find in this document” and hit the Return key on your keyboard.

Users conducting more advanced searches can save the results or export them to post on a website or to social media. The database allows all of this via additional colored buttons near the blue filter button. Users can create graphs and charts from the data as well, and even change the way the data is viewed.

While working with data can sometimes be challenging, the ability to search and evaluate financial complaints through the CFPB’s public complaint database is invaluable.

In the case of a dispute, it’s important to be able to document your complaint and communicate it clearly and fairly. What if you don’t hear back from the company? How can you escalate your complaint? How do you know if the company is following through on its commitments?

Ways to submit your complaint

Online: consumerfinance.gov/complaint

Telephone: 855-411-CFPB (2372)

Spanish (español): 855-411-CFPB (2372)
TTY/TDD: 855-729-CFPB (2372)

Fax Number: 855-237-2392

Mail: Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

PO Box 4503

Iowa City, IA 52244
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Double help for federal student loan complaints

By Abigail Howard

When your attempts to work with your student loan servicer leave you frustrated or feeling hopeless, where do you turn? Fortunately, borrowers have more than one avenue for help these days.

Whether your student loan servicer is ignoring your pleas to correct errors on your account or makes sudden changes to the terms of your student loan, you can seek backup against some of the biggest banking bullies in the industry. Both the consumer financial watchdog—the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB)—and the U.S. Department of Education accept borrowers’ complaints and put a little muscle behind your attempts to resolve them.

Typically the CFPB handles private student loan complaints and the Department of Education handles federal student loan complaints. The Education Department has a new complaint system designed to resolve problems with federal student loan balances, loan servicers, debt collectors, and universities and their offices.

How to know if you have a private or federal student loan? It can be tough to spot the differences between federal loans and private loans because the same lenders are often involved and schools sometimes put their names on private loans. Visit the Student Loan Borrower Assistance website (http://bit.ly/2Qc05r) for advice on figuring out which category your student loan falls into.

But Consumer Action believes it’s worth your while to submit federal student loan complaints to both the CFPB and the Department of Education for expedited action.

Submit federal student loan complaints online at the Department of Education’s Federal Student Aid website (http://bit.ly/2b4f1a) and by email to the CFPB’s Student Loan Ombudsman’s office (Students@cfpb.gov).

Private student loan complaints should continue to go to the CFPB at http://bit.ly/2bdlcWc.

New report
Continued from page 1

where possible, discover the outcomes of the complaints.

We’ve learned that sometimes progress is made on behalf of all American consumers, when, for example, a CFPB suit is filed against a company for unfair business practices or a harmful practice is banned through new rules, even when there is no individual success in the form of a refund or other remedy.

Despite the range of data presented by the CFPB in its complaint database, however, sometimes there’s just not enough information provided for the public to judge whether progress has been made at all. While nearly all consumers receive a private response from companies, few seem to achieve resolution. For more on complaint responses and resolution, see “Improving a valuable consumer resource” on page 1.

What’s included

The CFPB complaint database stores complaints filed with Bureau and, for each complaint, lists the:

• Name of the company
• Complaint product and sub-product (for example: Category: Debt collection, Sub-category: Medical)
• Complaint issue and sub-issue (for example: “Debt not owed” and “Debt not mine”)
• Complaint narrative/details in the complainant’s own words (optional)
• Date of complaint
• Company’s response to consumer
• Company’s response to the public opacity
• Company’s response: Timely/Un timely
• Consumer state and, in most cases, full or partial ZIP code
• Consumer’s dispute of company response: Yes/No
• Administrative details (for example, how the complaint was submitted, date it was forwarded to the company and its complaint ID number)

Complaint details

Consumers who choose to include their firsthand accounts of their disputes with financial services companies in the public database will be helping alert others to the problems they’ve experienced and allowing the public to understand the reason they sought help. Complaint details from companies who voluntarily share their stories (“opt in”) were added to the database in July 2015. Prior to posting individual complaint narratives, the Bureau removes personal information from each complaint to maintain complainants’ privacy. It screens each narrative and redacts any identifying details that might link the complaint to a particular person.

Helpful resource

Consumers can turn to the complaint database before making an important financial decision to learn of the types of problems people have had with a business and if there appears to be a pattern of similar complaints. The public database empowers consumers to make informed decisions about doing business with large financial services providers.

They can also turn to the database after they’ve done business with a company, if they are dissatisfied, to learn from complaints data if others have had similar problems and if they were resolved.

People who’ve experienced a problem can also report it to the CFPB (which forwards the complaint to the company) and publicly warn others to help prevent similar complaints in the future.

What’s not included

While the CFPB complaint database includes mortgage, payday loan and private student loan complaints, it only reports complaints about large banks and other financial institutions with assets of more than $10 billion. So small, local banks and credit unions will not make the list. That’s true for other small companies, too.

For debt collection, credit reporting, auto financing and money transfer firms, only complaints about companies with the largest market share, called “larger participants,” are included in the database.

Also not included in the public database are consumer complaints that are:

• the subject of a lawsuit;
• referred to other regulators;
• about a company that does not make their products or services available to the general public.

Note: Some complaints are not filed under company names consumers would recognize. This applies mostly to complaints about prepaid cards and specialty credit bureaus. These complaints are listed instead under the parent company’s name. So if you have a complaint about a Rush prepaid card, for example, it would be listed under the parent company Empowerment Ventures.

The CFPB is considering revising this practice to make it easier to identify complaints by common company names when it updates its computer system next year.

Research and report

Consumer Action has written a report and companion articles to help you better understand and make use of the CFPB’s complaint tool. The information is divided into three sections:

“Consulting the consumer complaint database” (and Part One of the report at bit.ly/D-base_Report) focuses on the mechanics of the database. We explain how to use the complaint database and provide tips on how to make your search efficient. We’ve learned that using keywords in the Narrative view of the database will target your search. (For details on how to search the database, see page 3.)

To “Find the database doesn’t always tell whole story” (and in Part Two of the report at bit.ly/D2-base_Report), we review six complaint categories (student loan servicing, debt collection, credit cards, and mortgages, debt collection, bank accounts and services, and credit cards) and explain more about the database so consumers voluntarily provide when filing a complaint.

We also examine the limited information about company responses and resolutions to these complaints on page 2, and explain what you can and cannot expect when you file a complaint with the Bureau. On this page (see “Double help”), we share some tips on how to get the best results when filing a complaint.

“Improving a valuable consumer resource” includes our findings and recommendations to the Bureau to improve the database (see page 1). For even more details, see Part Three of the accompanying report (bit.ly/D3-base_Report).

The CFPB wants your two cents

In late July, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau announced plans to give consumers the opportunity to rate and provide feedback on a company’s response to and handling of complaints they file at the Bureau.

You can comment on the plan at http://bit.ly/2bQc05r. The comment deadline is Sept. 30.

The plan would allow consumers to rate a company’s handling of their complaint on a one-to-five scale and provide a written explanation of why they were satisfied or dissatisfied with a company’s response.

Consumer feedback will be shared with the company to inform its complaint handling and used to inform the Bureau’s work to supervise companies and monitor and improve the market for consumer financial products and services.